

# MS\_Regress - The MATLAB Package for Markov Regime Switching Models

Marcelo Perlin\*  
marceloperlin@gmail.com

November 24, 2010

## WORKING PAPER

### Abstract

Markov state switching models are a type of specification which allows for the transition of states as an intrinsic property of the econometric model. Such type of statistical representations are well known and utilised in different problems in the field of economics and finance. This paper gives an overview of MS\_Regress, a MATLAB toolbox specially designed for the estimation, simulation and forecasting of a general markov regime switching model. The package was written in an intuitive manner so that the user have at its reach a large number of different markov switching specifications, without any change in the original code. This document introduces the main functionality of the package with the help of several empirical examples.

---

\*PhD Student at Reading University, ICMA Centre.

# 1 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to introduce the user to the functionality of MS\_Regress package<sup>1</sup>. The document is organised as follows, first I give a brief exposition on the topic of regime switching models and the further sections of the paper are related to the introduction to the features of the package along with illustrative examples.

## 2 Overview of the package

The MS\_Regress package was written for the estimation, simulation and forecasting of a general markov switching model. The functionality of the code is build around three functions:

MS\_Regress\_Fit - Function for estimating a MS model

MS\_Regress\_For - Function for forecasting a regime switching model

MS\_Regress\_Sim - Function for simulating a MS model

Each of these functions have a similar interface. Within the package there are several example scripts that show the functionality of each of the three main functions. In this paper, special attention is given to the fitting function (MS\_Regress\_Fit) since this is the one most likely to be used.

## 3 Installation of the package

The installation of the package is quite straightforward. All you need to do is to tell Matlab to place the files from the m\_Files folder in the search path. This is done with the command:

```
addpath('m_Files');
```

Once Matlab recognises the path of the package, the functions will

---

<sup>1</sup>The package is still under development to accommodate new features. The up to date version can be downloaded from <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/15789>. I also wrote a lighter version of the package in R. The code is available within the Rmetrics project (<https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/rmetrics/>, search for fMarkovSwitching). Please be aware that the R version is no longer being maintained.

be available to the user. After the use of the package, it is advised (but not necessary) to remove the files from the search path. This is accomplished with:

```
rmpath('mFiles');
```

These commands are already included in all example scripts. These scripts were written so that they can run without any modification. They are a good starting point in learning the interface of the package. Next I give a brief introduction to markov regime switching models.

## 4 Markov regime switching models

Markov regime switching models are a type of specifications of which the selling point is the flexibility in handling processes driven by heterogeneous states of the world. In this section I give a brief exposition on the subject. For further technical details, the reader is advised to check the main literature on the subject. Technical details regarding markov regime switching models can be found in Hamilton [1994], Kim and Nelson [1999], Wang [2003]. For introductory material on the subject, see Hamilton [2005], Brooks [2002], Alexander [2008] and Tsay [2002] among others.

I start by presenting the simplest regime switching model. Consider the following process given by:

$$y_t = \mu_{S_t} + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

where  $S_t = 1..k$  and  $\epsilon_t$  follows a Normal distribution with zero mean and variance given by  $\sigma_{S_t}^2$ . Note that for the model given in Equation 1, the intercept is switching states given an indicator variable  $S_t$ . This means that if there are  $k$  states, there will be  $k$  values for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . If there is only one state of the world ( $S_t = 1$ ), formula 1 takes the shape of  $y_t = \mu_1 + \epsilon_t$  and can be treated as a simple linear regression model under general conditions.

Assuming now that the model in 1 has two states ( $k = 2$ ). An alternative representation is:

$$y_t = \mu_1 + \epsilon_t \quad \text{for State 1} \tag{2}$$

$$y_t = \mu_2 + \epsilon_t \quad \text{for State 2} \tag{3}$$

where:

$$\epsilon_t \sim (0, \sigma_1^2) \quad \text{for State 1} \quad (4)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim (0, \sigma_2^2) \quad \text{for State 2} \quad (5)$$

This representation clearly implies two different processes for the dependent variable  $y_t$ . When the state of the world for time  $t$  is 1 (2), then the expectation of the dependent variable is  $\mu_1$  ( $\mu_2$ ) and the volatility of the innovations is  $\sigma_1^2$  ( $\sigma_2^2$ ).

For example one can think of  $y_t$  as a vector of log returns for a financial asset<sup>2</sup>. The value of  $\mu_1$  can be thought of as the expected return on a bull market state, which implies a positive trend for financial prices and consequently a positive log return for  $y_t$ . The lower value  $\mu_2$  can be read as the expected log return for the bear market state, which then implies a negative trend in prices.

The different volatilities ( $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ ) in each state represent the higher uncertainty regarding the predictive power of the model in each state of the world. Going back to my example, one could expect that the bear market state is more volatile than the bull market. This implies that prices go down faster than they go up.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, it would be intuitive to expect that  $\sigma_{Bear}^2$  is higher than  $\sigma_{Bull}^2$ . Note that I do not identify the states (e.g. bull market is state 1). In general, the  $S_t$  variable simply indexes the states, where the interpretation is given by looking at parameter values.

So far I haven't said how exactly the switching from one state to the other happens. For instance, how is that one should know which state of the world is for each point in time. Suppose that we had assumed a deterministic transition of states where state 1 is true for time  $t$  when an exogenous time series  $z_t$  is positive. This greatly simplifies the model as each state is observable and, therefore, we can treat the model given before as a regression with dummy variables. This would take the shape of  $y_t = D_t(\mu_1 + \epsilon_{1,t}) + (1 - D_t)(\mu_2 + \epsilon_{1,t})$ , where  $D_t$  is the dummy variable taking value of 1 if  $z_t > 0$  and 0 otherwise.

---

<sup>2</sup>The log return is the geometric change of the price. Formally, if  $P_t$  is the price of a particular asset for time  $t$ , then  $\log(P_t/P_{t-1})$  is the log return for time  $t$ .

<sup>3</sup>The usual explanation for this effect is that traders react faster to bad news when comparing to good news. This can also be explained by the presence of limit loss orders, which will sell at market prices once a particular threshold in the prices has been breached. When used by a significant amount of traders and at different threshold levels, these limit loss orders will create a cascade effect, therefore accelerating the downfall of prices.

For a markov regime switching model, the transition of states is stochastic (and not deterministic). This means that one is never sure whether there will be a switch of state or not. But, the dynamics behind the switching process is know and driven by a transition matrix. This matrix will control the probabilities of making a switch from one state to the other. It can be represented as:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & \cdots & p_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{k1} & \cdots & p_{kk} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

For 6, the element<sup>4</sup> in row  $i$ , column  $j$  ( $p_{ij}$ ) controls the probability of a switch from state  $j$  to state  $i$ . For example, consider that for some time  $t$  the state of the world is 2. This means that the probability of a switch from state 2 to state 1 between time  $t$  and  $t+1$  will be given by  $p_{12}$ . Likewise, a probability of staying in state 2 is determined by  $p_{22}$ . This is one of the central points of the structure of a markov regime switching model, that is, the switching of the states of the world is a stochastic process itself<sup>5</sup>. Usually the transition probabilities are assumed constant and this is also the case for MS\_Regress. But it is possible to allow it to vary over time. This is called the TVTP (time varying transition probabilities) model. See Wang [2003] for more details in this type of specification.

A general MS model can be estimated by maximum likelihood using Hamilton's filter and iterative algorithms. For further details on markov chains and on the estimation of markov regime switching models, the reader is advised to check the main literature on the subject, Hamilton [1994] and Kim and Nelson [1999].

When thinking in computational terms, a (univariate<sup>6</sup>) markov switching model can be represented in a generalised notation. Consider the following formula:

$$y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S_t}} \beta_i x_{i,t} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{nS_t}} \phi_{S_t,i} x_{i,t} + \epsilon_t \quad (7)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>The ordering of the elements in the matrix is a matter of notation. It is not uncommon to find different notations in the literature.

<sup>5</sup>In fact, the probabilities of each regime over time can be represented as a AR process, [Hamilton, 1994]

<sup>6</sup>The package also has support for multivariate models but, for sake of simplicity, I restrict the introduction in the topic for univariate specifications, only.

$$\epsilon_t \sim P(\Phi_{S_t}) \tag{8}$$

This representation can nest a high variety of univariate markov switching specifications. The first term in 7 is the sum of switching parameters of the models. The function  $N_{S_t}$  and  $N_{n,S_t}$  simply counts the number of switching (and non switching) coefficients, respectively. The term  $P(\Phi)$  is the assumed probability density function of the innovations.

When translating the structure in 7 and 8 into a computational framework, the required information one would need in order to set up its own specification is the explained ( $y_t$ ) and the explanatory data ( $x_{i,t}$ ), the location (and number) of parameters that will switch states and the shape of the probability density function for the innovations. The MS\_Regress package has a very intuitive way of addressing such structure, which makes it a handy package for this type of specifications. Next I present the interface of the package.

## 5 Estimating markov switching models with MS\_Regress

This MATLAB package is quite flexible when it comes to the specification of the markov switching model the user is estimating. The central point of this flexibility resides in the input argument  $S$ , which controls for where to include markov switching effects. The package can estimate univariate and multivariate markov switching models but, the interface is slightly different between the cases. Let's first begin with the univariate interface.

### 5.1 Interface to univariate modelling

When in the MATLAB environment, the way to call the fitting function of the package is<sup>7</sup>:

```
1 Spec_Out=MS_Regress_Fit(dep,indep,k,S,advOpt)
```

The first variable `Spec_Out` is the output structure which contains all the information regarding the estimated model. Variable `dep` is

---

<sup>7</sup>Special thanks to Florian Knorn for providing a latex package for Matlab code (available at <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/8015/>).

the dependent variable and it can either be a vector (univariate) or a matrix (multivariate model). The input `indep` represents the independent variables. For the case of a univariate model, it is represented as a matrix with columns equal to the number of regressors. For the case of a multivariate model, this variable is a cell array<sup>8</sup>. The last three inputs, `k`, `S` and `advOpt` are, respectively, the number of states in the model, the locations of the switching parameters and advanced options fed to the algorithm. Further details on these are given next, with the help of an example.

Consider the following case of a model with two explanatory variables ( $x_{1,t}$ ,  $x_{2,t}$ ) where the innovations follow a Gaussian (Normal) distribution and the input argument `S`, which is passed to the fitting function `MS_Regress_Fit.m`, is equal to `S=[1 1 1]`. Given this configuration, the model is represented as:

$$y_t = \beta_{1,S_t}x_{1,t} + \beta_{2,S_t}x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \quad (9)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{S_t}^2) \quad (10)$$

Where:

$S_t$ : The state at time  $t$ , that is,  $S_t = 1..k$ , where  $k$  is the number of states.

$\sigma_{S_t}^2$ : The variance of the innovation at state  $S_t$ .

$\beta_{i,S_t}$ : Beta coefficient for explanatory variable  $i$  at state  $S_t$  where  $i$  goes from 1 to 2.

$\epsilon_t$ : Residual vector which follows a particular distribution (in this case Normal).

Now, changing the input argument to `S=[1 1 0]`, the model is:

$$y_t = \beta_{1,S_t}x_{1,t} + \beta_{2,S_t}x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \quad (11)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (12)$$

Notes that that with `S=[1 1 0]`, the variance term is no longer switching states. Now, with the switching argument as `S=[0 1 1]`, the model is:

$$y_t = \beta_1x_{1,t} + \beta_{2,S_t}x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \quad (13)$$

---

<sup>8</sup>Details on multivariate models are given later on the paper.

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{S_t}^2) \quad (14)$$

With this change in the input argument  $S$ , only the second coefficient and the model's variance are switching according to the transition probabilities. That is, the first coefficient ( $\beta_1$ ) does not change states. Therefore, the logic is clear: the first elements of  $S$  control the switching dynamic of the mean equation, while the last term controls the switching dynamic of the residual vector, including distribution parameters. For an example with extra distribution parameters, consider the following definition for a model with GED (generalised error distribution) innovations and with input  $S=[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]$ . This configuration yields:

$$y_t = \beta_{1,S_t}x_{1,t} + \beta_{2,S_t}x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \quad (15)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim GED(0, \sigma_{S_t}^2, K_{S_t}) \quad (16)$$

In this setup, the new parameter  $K$  will also switch states. This coefficient is part of the GED distribution and should not be confused with the  $k$  (number of states in the model). If we had set  $S=[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0]$ , the model would switch in all coefficients, except in the  $K$  parameter. As an example for the markov switching fitting function, consider that there is a variable called `logRet` in MATLAB's workspace. This is the log returns of a particular asset. Consider the input of the following options at `MS_Regress_Fit()`:

```

1 % Defining inputs
2 dep=logRet;
3 constVec=ones(length(dep),1);
4 indep=constVec;
5 k=2;
6 S=[1 1];
7 advOpt.distrib='Normal';
8 advOpt.std_method=1;
9
10 % Calling fitting function
11 Spec_Out=MS_Regress_Fit(dep,indep,k,S,advOpt)

```

For the last piece of code, the vector `dep` is the dependent variable. The term `constVec` is a vector full of ones (the constant),  $k$  is the number of states and  $S$  defines the location of the switching parameters. The model represented in computational terms in the last

piece of `Matlab` code is equivalent to the model with only a constant term and two states given previously in the paper (see Equation 1).

The input structure `advOpt` determines advanced information of the model, in this case, the distribution assumption and the method for calculating the standard errors. More details regarding the use of `advOpt` can be found in a later section of the paper.

The inputs given before are related to the estimation, based on Gaussian maximum likelihood, of the equations:

$$\text{State 1 } (S_t = 1) \tag{17}$$

$$y_t = \beta_1 + \epsilon_t \tag{18}$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2) \tag{19}$$

$$\text{State 2 } (S_t = 2) \tag{20}$$

$$y_t = \beta_2 + \epsilon_t \tag{21}$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2) \tag{22}$$

with:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & p_{2,1} \\ p_{1,2} & p_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

as the transition matrix, which controls the probability of a switch from state  $j$  (column  $j$ ) to state  $i$  (row  $i$ ). The sum of each column in  $P$  is equal to one, since they represent full probabilities of the process for each state.

Another flexibility of the package is that, since I wrote it for dealing with a generic type of regression, you can set any kind of explanatory variable in the model as long as they are observed (you have it available for the whole time period studied). This includes autoregressive components, constants or just plain regression on other variables.

If you run the script `Example_MS_Regress_Fit.m` with `MATLAB` version 7.10.0.499 (R2010a), this is the output you should be getting if you have all the proper packages installed (optimisation, statistics).

```

1 ***** Numerical Optimization Converged *****
2
3 Final log Likelihood: 2487.1985
4 Number of estimated parameters: 10
5 Type of Switching Model: Univariate

```

```

6 Distribution Assumption -> Normal
7 Method SE calculation -> 1
8
9 ***** Final Parameters for Equation #1 *****
10
11 ---> Non Switching Parameters <---
12
13 Non Switching Parameter for Eq. #1, Indep column 2
14     Value:                0.4798
15     Std Error (p. value): 0.0269 (0.00)
16 Non Switching Parameter for Eq. #1, Indep column 3
17     Value:                0.1564
18     Std Error (p. value): 0.0333 (0.00)
19
20 --->  Switching Parameters (Distribution Parameters)
21
22 State 1
23     Model's Variance:      0.0003
24     Std Error (p. value): 0.0000 (0.00)
25 State 2
26     Model's Variance:      0.0008
27     Std Error (p. value): 0.0001 (0.00)
28
29 --->  Switching Parameters (Regressors) <---
30
31 Switching Parameters for Equation #1 - Indep column 1
32
33 State 1
34     Value:                0.0003
35     Std Error (p. value): 0.0007 (0.66)
36 State 2
37     Value:                0.0006
38     Std Error (p. value): 0.0017 (0.75)
39
40 ---> Transition Prob Matrix (std. error, p-value)
41
42     0.99 (0.03,0.00)    0.02 (0.01,0.04)
43     0.01 (0.00,0.06)    0.98 (0.02,0.00)
44
45 ---> Expected Duration of Regimes <---
46
47     Expected duration of Regime #1: 109.27 time periods
48     Expected duration of Regime #2: 43.57 time periods

```

## 5.2 Interface to multivariate modelling

By now it should be clear the use of input argument  $S$ . The multivariate interface I built for this package has the same intuition as in the univariate case and consists of basically a group of inputs in cell array notation, where the elements iterates over the equations in the system. An example should make it clear. Consider the following model:

$$y_{1,t} = \beta_{1,1,S_t} * x_{1,t} + \beta_{1,2,S_t} * x_{2,t} + \epsilon_{1,t} \quad (24)$$

$$y_{2,t} = \beta_{2,1,S_t} * x_{3,t} + \epsilon_{2,t} \quad (25)$$

with

$$\epsilon_{1,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{1,S_t}^2) \quad (26)$$

$$\epsilon_{2,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_{2,S_t}^2) \quad (27)$$

$$S_t = 1, 2 \quad (28)$$

$$\text{cov}(\epsilon_{1,t}, \epsilon_{2,t}) = 0 \quad (29)$$

This last system of 2 equations is translated in the package's notation as:

```
1 % Defining input variables
2 dep=y;
3 indep{1}=[x1 x2];
4 indep{2}=x3;
5 k=2;
6 S{1}=[1 1 1];
7 S{2}=[1 1];
8
9 % Calling fitting function
10 Spec_Out=MS_Regress_Fit(dep, indep, k, S);
```

For the last piece of code, variable  $y$  is a matrix with two columns. As one can see, the inputs have the same shape as for the univariate case, but they are iterated over the equations of the system by using cell arrays<sup>9</sup>. All options to univariate modelling, including reduced

---

<sup>9</sup>For those not familiarised with Matlab, cell arrays are a type of flexible structure that can accommodate any kind of data. They are similar to the use of 'lists' in R.

estimation, are also available for the multivariate case. For further details see the script `Example_MS_Regress_Fit_MultiVar.m`, which can be found in the package's zip file<sup>10</sup>.

### 5.3 Estimating regime switching vector autoregressive models (MS - VAR)

The package also comes with a simple wrapper function for estimating a general autoregressive markov switching model<sup>11</sup>.

For example, consider a matrix consisting of two time series ( $Y_t = [y_{1,t} \ y_{2,t}]$ ) that follows this particular autoregressive system:

$$Y_t = B_{S_t} \otimes Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad (30)$$

with:

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \Sigma_{S_t}) \quad (31)$$

$$\Sigma_{S_t} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,S_t}^2 & \sigma_{1,2,S_t} \\ \sigma_{1,2,S_t} & \sigma_{2,S_t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

This model translates into the package's notation as:

```

1 % Defining input
2 dep=logRet(:,1:2);
3 nLag=1;
4 k=2;
5 advOpt.diagCovMat=0;
6
7 % Calling fitting function
8 Spec_Out=MS_VAR_Fit(dep,nLag,k,advOpt);
```

As one can see, the input structure is similar to `MS_Regress_Fit`. The new inputs, `nLag` and `advOpt.diagCovMat` are respectively the number of lags in the system and the use of a full matrix as the covariance matrix<sup>12</sup>.

<sup>10</sup>The download is available at

<http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/15789>

<sup>11</sup>Note that in the current version of the package (July 2010), moving average terms and error correction models are not supported.

<sup>12</sup>This implies that all elements in the covariance matrix are estimated from the data. If `advOpt.diagCovMat=1`, then only the elements in the diagonal (the variances) are estimated and the rest (the non diagonal elements, the covariances) are all set to zero.

## 5.4 The output from the estimation function

The estimation function `MS_Regress_Fit` returns a structure with all the information regarding your model. This comprises of the following fields:

- `Coeff`: A Structure with the fields:
  - `Coeff.p`: The transition probability matrix
  - `Coeff.nS_Param`: All non switching betas (iterated over equations (cells) and independent variables (rows))
  - `Coeff.S_Param`: All switching betas (iterated over equations (cells), over independent variables (rows) and states (columns))
  - `Coeff.covMat`: Covariance matrix (iterated over states (cell)).
- `filtProb`: The filtered probabilities of regimes (iterated over the states (columns))
- `LL`: Final log likelihood of model
- `k`: Number of states
- `param`: All estimated parameters in vector notation
- `S`: Switching flag control (iterating over equations (cell))
- `advOpt`: advanced options fed to algorithm (see next section for details)
- `condMean`: Conditional mean calculated<sup>13</sup> by the model
- `condStd`: Conditional standard deviation calculated<sup>14</sup> by the model.
- `resid`: Residuals from the model (iterating over equations (columns))
- `stateDur`: Expected duration of each state
- `smoothProb`: Smoothed probabilities of regimes (iterated over the states (columns))
- `nObs`: Number of observations (rows) in the model
- `Number_Parameters`: Number of estimated parameters
- `Coeff.SE`: A structure with all standard errors of coefficients (same fields as `Coeff`)

---

<sup>13</sup>These are calculated based only on filtered probabilities prior to time  $t$ .

<sup>14</sup>These are also calculated with information prior to time  $t$ .

- **Coeff\_pValues:** A structure with all parameter's p-values (same fields as **Coeff**)
- **AIC:** Akaike information criteria of the estimated model
- **BIC:** Bayesian information criteria for estimated model

These fields should contain all the information you need for further testing of the model. If you're missing something, please let me know.

## 5.5 Using advanced options

When I was writing this markov switching package I always had in mind that it should be simple and intuitive to use but, at the same time, be complete in providing different options to the user. In the use of the fitting function `MS_Regress_Fit.m`, all the different options of the algorithm are controlled by the input `advOpt`. The possible fields are:

**advOpt.distrib:** Defines the distribution to be used in the maximum likelihood calculation. If `advOpt.distrib='Normal'`, then the distribution used is the Gaussian, if `advOpt.distrib='t'`, it is used the  $t$  distribution, with one extra parameter (degrees of freedom). If this field is equal to `'GED'` then the distribution for the error is the Generalised Error distribution with one extra parameter ( $K$ ).

**advOpt.std\_method** - Defines the method to be used for the calculation of the standard errors of the estimated coefficients. More details for the first three types of covariance matrix can be found at Hamilton [1994], chapter 5. For the last one it can be found in Newey and West [1987]. The options are:

- `advOpt.std_method=1`: Calculation of the covariance matrix is performed using the second partial derivatives of log likelihood function (a.k.a. the Hessian matrix).
- `advOpt.std_method=2`: Calculation of the covariance matrix is performed using the first partial derivatives of log likelihood, that is, the outer product matrix. This methods approximates the Hessian matrix with the gradient vector and is a robust choice for when `std_method=1` fails to give reasonable values<sup>15</sup>.

---

<sup>15</sup>The calculation of the Hessian by numerical differentiation is not guaranteed to provide

- `advOpt.std_method=3`: Calculation of the covariance matrix is performed using White's method<sup>16</sup>. The resulting covariance matrix is robust to heteroskedasticity of unknown form.
- `advOpt.std_method=4`: Calculation of the covariance matrix is performed using Newey and West method. The resulting covariance matrix is robust to heteroskedasticity and serial correlation.

**advOpt.useMex**: Defines whether to use the mex<sup>17</sup> version of Hamilton's filter in the calculation of likelihood function. The advantage in using the mex version is the increase of speed in the fitting function. But, in order to use it, you'll need a proper C++ compiler. Please check next topic for more details.

**advOpt.constCoeff**: Defines the use of constrained/reduced estimation of the model. This is particularly useful when the desired model has a very particular representation which cannot be addressed in the usual notation. See the following topic for instructions on how to use this feature.

**advOpt.diagCovMat**: Defines the use of a diagonal matrix for sigma (covariance matrix) in multivariate estimation, only. If `advOpt.diagCovMat=0` then the whole covariance matrix is estimated from the data.

**advOpt.printOut**: Flag for printing out to screen the model in the end of estimation.

**advOpt.printIter**: Flag for printing out numerical iterations of maximum likelihood estimation.

**advOpt.doPlots**: Flag for plotting fitted conditional standard deviations and smoothed probabilities in the end of estimation.

The next table show the possible values for each input in `advOpt` and also the default values (if no value is assigned).

---

a real number. When this happens, the function `MS_Regress_Fit` will output NaN (Not a Number) values for the standard errors.

<sup>16</sup>See White [1984] for details.

<sup>17</sup>Mex files stands for matlab's executable file and is a way to interface MATLAB with other low level programming languages such as C++ and Fortran.

Input Argument	Possible Values	Default Values
advOpt.distrib	'Normal', 't' or 'GED'	'Normal'
advOpt.std_method	1,2,3 or 4	1
advOpt.useMex	1 or 0	0
advOpt.constCoeff	Any number or string 'e' (see next topic for details)	A structure with fields containing string 'e'. This means that all coefficients are estimated from data (no restrictions on coefficients)
advOpt.diagCovMat	1 or 0	1
advOpt.printOut	1 or 0	1
advOpt.printIter	1 or 0	1
advOpt.doPlots	1 or 0	1

Table 1: Default Values for input arguments in advOpt.

## 5.6 Using the mex version of Hamilton's filter

The filter behind the calculation of the filtered probabilities of the model is computationally intensive and this may be a pain when dealing with large amounts of data. The `MS_Regress` package has a mex version of the likelihood function that performs the heavy duty of the model, Hamilton's filter, in a cpp mex file. Depending on the number of observations in the system, the gain in speed can be higher than 50%, meaning that the mex version of the filter can decrease in half the time needed to estimate the model.

Currently, Mathworks does not let me include pre-compiled files in the package so you'll have to compile the .cpp file before using it. The file in question is `mex_MS_Filter.cpp`, which is located at the folder `m.Files`. The cpp file was compiled and tested using MATLAB 2010a and MS VC 2008. You can get the last one freely on the internet<sup>18</sup>. After installing it, type in MATLAB the command:

```
1 mex -setup;
```

<sup>18</sup><http://www.microsoft.com/express/>

This will take you to a set of steps for configuring your default mex compiler to MS VC 2008. After that, just use the command:

```
1 mex mex_MS_Filter.cpp;
```

in the directory `m_Files` and try running the script `Example_MS_Regress_Fit_with_MEX.m`.

Please note that the `.cpp` function will NOT compile under MATLAB's native LCC. For others C++ compilers, I haven't tested it, hopefully it will work.

If you're having problems compiling it, please email me and I'll send you the compiled mex file which should work for most of the cases.

## 5.7 Using `advOpt.constCoeff` (constraining coefficients)

The `MS_Regress` package also supports constrained/reduced estimation of the model. This means that, for all the coefficients, you can choose whether you want to estimate the parameter from the data or if you want to fix it to a specific value. This feature also holds for multivariate models.

Consider the following case: you know for sure (or at least have a theory) that one (or more) of the switching coefficients (say column 2 of `indep`) has the value of 0.5 when the model is in state 1 and value of -0.5 when the model is in state 2. You now want to know what are the maximum likelihood estimates for the other coefficients given this restriction. The package allow for this particular specification (or any other as matter of fact) to be set. The interface for using constrained estimation has the following principles:

1. The parameters are grouped with the notation:
  - *nS\_Param*: All non switching coefficients at `indep` matrix (which were chosen with argument `S`)
  - *S\_Param*: All switching coefficients at `indep` matrix (also chosen with input `S`)
  - *p*: Transition matrix
  - *covMat*: Covariance matrix of innovations
  - *df*: Degrees of freedom for *t* distribution (if applicable)

- $K$ : Parameter for GED distribution (if applicable)
2. The size of each of these fields are set according to the number of switching/non switching parameters and the number of states in the model (value of  $k$ ). For all of them (except `covMat`), the cells iterates over the equations in the system, the columns iterate the states and the rows iterate the coefficients. For instance, for `nS_Param{iEq}`, the element (1,1) of this matrix is the first non switching parameters of equation `iEq`, the element (2,1) is the second non switching parameter and so on. For `S_Param{iEq}`, the element (1,2) is the parameter of the first switching variable, at state 2, equation `iEq`. The sizes of `covMat`, `df` and  $K$  also have to respect the choices made at input `S`. For instance if the model is switching in the standard deviation of the innovations, then `covMat` should have size  $\{1,k\}$ , otherwise it should be a  $\{1,1\}$  cell. For the case of multivariate models, `covMat` should be sized according to the number of equations in the system.
  3. The rule for building the fields is, use the string 'e' (as in 'estimate') if you want the respective parameter to be estimated from data or a numeric value if you want the respective parameter to take that value. For instance, using:

```

1 advOpt.constCoeff.S_Param{1}={0.5 , 'e' ; ...
2                               'e' , 0.1};
```

you're telling `MS_Regress_Fit` to set the coefficients of the first switching parameter of equation 1, in state 1, equal to 0.5, the second switching parameter, state 2, equal to 0.1 and estimate the rest of them.

Examples should make the structure on the use of `advOpt.constCoeff` clear. Consider the estimation of the following model:

$$\text{State 1 } (S_t = 1) \tag{33}$$

$$y_t = \beta_1 + 0.x_{1,t} + \beta_{2,1}x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \tag{34}$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2) \tag{35}$$

$$\text{State 2 } (S_t = 2) \tag{36}$$

$$y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_{1,2}x_{1,t} + 0x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \tag{37}$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2) \quad (38)$$

with:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & p_{2,1} \\ p_{1,2} & p_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

For the previous specification, the restrictions are:

$$\beta_{1,1} = 0$$

$$\beta_{2,2} = 0$$

In order to estimate this model, these are the options fed to the fitting function `Example_MS_Regress_Fit_using_constCoeff.m`:

```

1 % Defining Inputs
2 k=2;
3 S=[0 1 1 1];
4 advOpt.distrib='Normal';
5 advOpt.std.method=1;
6
7 % Defining constrained parameters
8 advOpt.constCoeff.nS_Param{1}={'e'};
9 advOpt.constCoeff.S_Param{1}={ 0 , 'e' ; 'e' , 0 }
10
11 advOpt.constCoeff.covMat{1}(1,1)={'e'};
12 advOpt.constCoeff.covMat{2}(1,1)={'e'};
13
14 advOpt.constCoeff.p={'e', 'e' ; 'e', 'e' };
15
16 % Estimate resulting model
17 Spec_Out=MS_Regress_Fit(dep, indep, k, S, advOpt);

```

For the previous code, the command

```
advOpt.constCoeff.nS_Param{1}={'e'};
```

is telling the function `MS_Regress_Fit` to estimate the non switching parameter of the first (and only) equation in the system. The input

```
advOpt.constCoeff.S_Param{1}={ 0 , 'e' ; 'e' , 0};
```

fix the switching parameter of indep column 2 to 0 at state 1, indep column 3 equal to 0 at state 2 and estimate the rest of it. The

commands:

```
advOpt.constCoeff.covMat{1}(1,1)={'e'};
```

and

```
advOpt.constCoeff.covMat{2}(1,1)={'e'};
```

defines the estimation of the covariance matrix for both states. The last input:

```
advOpt.constCoeff.p={'e','e'; 'e','e'};
```

directs the fitting function to estimate all of the transition probabilities.

Consider now the next example, where the model is:

$$\text{State 1 } (S_t = 1) \tag{40}$$

$$y_t = \beta_1 + 0.5x_{1,t} + \beta_{2,1}x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \tag{41}$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, 0.0004) \tag{42}$$

$$\text{State 2 } (S_t = 2) \tag{43}$$

$$y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_{1,2}x_{1,t} - 0.8x_{2,t} + \epsilon_t \tag{44}$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2) \tag{45}$$

with:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.95 & p_{2,1} \\ 0.05 & p_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

Note that this model has the following restrictions:

$$\beta_{1,1} = 0.5$$

$$\beta_{2,2} = -0.8$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = 0.0004$$

$$p_{1,1} = 0.95$$

$$p_{1,2} = 0.05$$

The input arguments for `advOpt.constCoeff` that represent this model in `MS_Regress_Fit` are:

```

1 % Defining inputs
2 k=2;
3 S=[0 1 1 1];
4 advOpt.distrib='Normal';
5 advOpt.std_method=1;
6
7 % Defining restrictions
8 advOpt.constCoeff.nS_Param{1}={'e'};
9 advOpt.constCoeff.S_Param{1}={0.5, 'e' ; 'e', -0.8};
10 advOpt.constCoeff.covMat{1}(1,1)={0.0004};
11 advOpt.constCoeff.covMat{2}(1,1)={'e'};
12 advOpt.constCoeff.p={0.95, 'e' ; 0.05, 'e'};

```

As one can see, any parameter of the model can be constrained to a particular value, including the transition matrix<sup>19</sup>.

## 6 Hamilton (1989)'s model

The markov switching specification of Hamilton [1989] is naturally a benchmark in this class of models and I get an unusual amount of emails regarding matching the results of the paper by using my package. This section will shed some lights in this issue.

The markov switching model of Hamilton [1989] is specified as:

$$y_t - \mu_{S_t} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i(y_{t-i} - \mu_{S_{t-i}}) + \epsilon_t \quad (47)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (48)$$

As you can see from previous equation, the independent variables are conditional on the states, an unobserved process. That means that the regressors,  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i(y_{t-i} - \mu_{S_{t-i}})$ , are also unobserved prior to estimation. My package was not built to deal with this type of setup but a two step estimation process is identifiable. Consider the following notation for Hamilton's Model:

$$z_t = y_t - \mu_{S_t} \quad (49)$$

This is equivalent to:

---

<sup>19</sup>Be aware that when constraining the transition matrix, the probabilities have to sum to one in each column.

$$z_t = \sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i z_{t-i} + \epsilon_t \quad (50)$$

By rearranging 47 we get:

$$y_t = \mu_{S_t} + z_t \quad (51)$$

where  $z_t$  are the residuals from this reduced model. The two steps for the approximation which can be used to estimate Hamilton's model are:

1. Estimate using `MS_Regress_Fit`:

$$y_t = \mu_{S_t} + \epsilon_t \quad (52)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (53)$$

$$S_t = 1, 2 \quad (54)$$

2. Retrieve the  $\hat{\epsilon}_t$  vector and regress it on four lags:

$$\hat{\epsilon}_t = \sum_{i=1}^4 \beta_i \hat{\epsilon}_{t-i} + \nu_t \quad (55)$$

$$\nu_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{\nu_t}^2) \quad (56)$$

Note that the parameter  $\sigma_{\nu_t}^2$  from last equation will approximate  $\sigma^2$  of Hamilton's model. Next, I show the estimated parameters<sup>20</sup> from Hamilton [1989] and the parameters from my approximation:

---

<sup>20</sup>The GNP data is freely available at <http://weber.ucsd.edu/~jhamilto/software.htm>

Parameter	Hamilton [1989]	Two-step MS_Regress_Fit
$\mu_1$	1.16	1.101
$\mu_2$	-0.36	-0.48
$p_{1,1}$	0.9	0.906
$p_{2,2}$	0.75	0.682
$\sigma^2$	0.866	0.988
$\phi_1$	0.01	0.126
$\phi_2$	-0.06	0.104
$\phi_3$	-0.25	-0.133
$\phi_4$	-0.21	-0.104

Table 2: Comparison of parameter’s estimates from Hamilton [1989]. The same model and data is fitted by a two step procedure with function `MS_Regress_Fit`. The estimates of the coefficients are then compared to the ones in the paper.

From Table 2, one can see that the parameters are fairly comparable for the markov regime switching part of the model. For the autoregressive part, they are not as comparable as for the first part but, since most of the  $\phi_i$  are not statistically significant, the approximation performance is still good. When looking at the regime’s probabilities (Hamilton [1994], page 697), it is also clear that they are very similar. The code for this estimation is available within the package’s zip file (`Script_Hamilton_Comparison.m`).

## 7 Advises for using the package

You probably want to apply the package to you own time series. This topic will set some advices for using the fitting function.

1. For a better convergence, always check the scale of your dependent and independent variables. For instance, lets say the explained variable varies from  $-0.1$  to  $0.1$ , while one of the independent varies from  $-1000$  to  $1000$ . If you estimate with this setup (without any correction) the algorithm may not converge well<sup>21</sup>.

---

<sup>21</sup>This is usually associated with the algorithm converging to  $-\text{Inf}$  in the log likelihood function.

In this case just divide the corresponding independent variable by 1000 so that they are correctly scaled. This gentleman's (linear) transformation will help `fmincon` (the optimisation function) to find the set of maximum likelihood parameters.

2. Always try to estimate simple models. For instance, don't try to estimate any model with  $k > 3$  and number of explanatory variables higher than 4. The model's size (number of parameters) grows exponentially as  $n$  and  $k$  grows. For instance, in a univariate framework, if  $k = 5$ ,  $n = 4$  (4 explanatory variables) and you're switching in all coefficients, then the model has 50 parameters to be estimated from data, which is definitely too much for a gradient descent method (`fmincon` function). Don't get me wrong, the package will try to estimate it, but the solution is probably a local maximum and you can't really trust the output you get.
3. If using constrained estimation, make reasonable choices for the restricted coefficients, otherwise the function will have a hard time in finding the maximum likelihood estimates.

If after those steps you're still having problems converging to a solution, send me an email with a nice personal introduction and an attached zip file containing:

- The scripts you're running (the main `.m` file). This should be send with all auxiliary `m`-files and data. The main program should run without any problem except the one you're reporting.
- The error message (if there is one, could be in `.txt` or just in the email scope).

## 8 Reporting a bug

I'm very happy to hear about any sort of bug in the program. If you have found one please report it to my email containing:

- Name of functions and lines of alleged bug (if applicable).
- Reason why you think it is a bug.
- Zip file with codes you're running (including data and scripts).
- MATLAB's error message (if applicable).

And I'll happily look into it.

## 9 Citing the package

If you have used the package for research, make sure you cite the code, not just for acknowledgement but also for replication of results. My suggested citation is:

Perlin, M. (2009) 'MS\_Regress - A Package for Markov Regime Switching Models in MATLAB' Available in <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/15789>.

## 10 Final remarks

The interest of this paper was in presenting the main features of the MATLAB package MS\_Regress. As one can see, the interface of the software is quite intuitive and it should be flexible enough to handle personalised markov switching specifications without any change in the original code. For any enquiries which are not clear from this document, fell free to contact me at [marceloperlin@gmail.com](mailto:marceloperlin@gmail.com).

## References

- Carol Alexander. *Market Risk Analysis: Practical Financial Econometrics*. Wiley, 2008.
- Chris Brooks. *Introduction to Econometrics*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- James Hamilton. A new approach to the economic analysis of non-stationary time series and the business cycle. *Econometrica*, 57(2):357–84, March 1989. URL <http://ideas.repec.org/a/ecm/emetrp/v57y1989i2p357-84.html>.
- James Hamilton. *Time Series Analysis*. Princeton University Press, 1994.
- James Hamilton. Regime switching models. *Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, 2005.
- J. Kim and R. Nelson. *State Space Model with Regime Switching: Classical and Gibbs-Sampling Approaches with Applications*. The MIT Press, 1999.
- Whitney K Newey and Kenneth D West. A simple, positive semi-definite, heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix. *Econometrica*, 55(3), May 1987. URL <http://ideas.repec.org/a/ecm/emetrp/v55y1987i3p703-08.html>.
- Ruey Tsay. *Analysis of Financial Time Series*. John Wiley and Sons, 2002.
- Peijie Wang. *Financial Econometrics*. Taylor and Francis, 2003.
- H. White. *Asymptotic Theory for Econometricians*. New York: Academic Press, 1984.