

### Homework#3, Statistical Inference II, 2013 Spring

Note that the likelihood ratio  $\frac{f_{\theta_2}(x)}{f_{\theta_1}(x)}$  is well-defined when at least one of  $f_{\theta_1}(x)$

and  $f_{\theta_2}(x)$  is nonzero [Def. 6.2 in the textbook]. Hence, we define the set

$S = \{x : f_{\theta_1}(x) > 0 \text{ or } f_{\theta_2}(x) > 0\}$  in which  $\frac{f_{\theta_2}(x)}{f_{\theta_1}(x)}$  is well-defined.

1. Consider the family  $\{f_{\theta}(x) : \theta \in R\}$  with  $f_{\theta}(x) = c(\theta)h(x)I_{(a(\theta), b(\theta))}(x)$ , where  $a(\theta)$  and  $b(\theta)$  are nondecreasing [Ex. 12 in the textbook].

a) Define the set  $S$ .

b) Show that the family is MLR.

c) Derive a size  $\alpha$  UMP test for  $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ .

2. Data  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  follows independently and identically a Weibull distribution with  $P_{\theta}(X_i > x) = \exp\{-(x/\theta)^c\}I_{(0, \infty)}(x) + I_{(-\infty, 0]}(x)$ , where  $\theta > 0$  is unknown and  $c > 0$  is known. Derive a size  $\alpha$  UMP test for  $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$  [the cut-off value should use the percentile of the chi-square distribution].

This is a simplified answer. You need to write more details.

**1. Answer**

**Case (i):**  $a(\theta_2) < b(\theta_1)$

$$S = (a(\theta_1), b(\theta_2))$$

$$\frac{f_{\theta_2}(x)}{f_{\theta_1}(x)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a(\theta_1) < x \leq a(\theta_2) \\ c(\theta_2)/c(\theta_1) & \text{if } a(\theta_2) < x < b(\theta_1) \\ \infty & \text{if } b(\theta_1) \leq x < b(\theta_2) \end{cases} \quad (\text{MLR in } x)$$

**Case (i):**  $b(\theta_1) \leq a(\theta_2)$

$$S = (a(\theta_1), b(\theta_1)) \cup (a(\theta_2), b(\theta_2))$$

$$\frac{f_{\theta_2}(x)}{f_{\theta_1}(x)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a(\theta_1) < x \leq b(\theta_1) \\ \infty & \text{if } a(\theta_2) < x < b(\theta_2) \end{cases} \quad (\text{MLR in } x)$$

c)  $T(X) = I_{(c_\alpha, \infty)}(X)$ , where  $c_\alpha = H^{-1}\{H(b(\theta_0)) - \alpha/c(\theta_0)\}$

**Answer 2:**

Since  $f_\theta(x_i) = cx_i^{c-1}I_{(0,\infty)}(x) \exp(-x_i^c/\theta^c - c \log \theta)$ , the p.d.f. of full data is

$$f_\theta(x) = c^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{c-1} I_{(0,\infty)}(x_{(1)}) \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^c / \theta^c - nc \log \theta),$$

where  $\eta(\theta) = -1/\theta^c$  is strictly increasing and  $Y(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^c \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta^c)$ .

Note that  $2Y(X)/\theta^c \sim \chi_{df=n}^2$ . Solving  $P_{\theta_0}(Y > d) = P_{\theta_0}(\frac{2Y}{\theta_0^c} > \frac{2d}{\theta_0^c}) = \alpha$ ,

$d = \theta_0^c \chi_{df=n, 1-\alpha}^2 / 2$ . Hence, by Corollary 6.2, the UMP is

$T(X) = I_{(d_\alpha, \infty)}(Y(X))$ , where  $d_\alpha = \theta_0^c \chi_{df=n, 1-\alpha}^2 / 2$ .