

3/10/21 106225007

Midterm exam, Mathematical Statistics, 2017 Fall [+ 30 points], Q1-Q5

Name:

+21

+5 Q1 [+5]. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, and $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

1) [+1] Derive the moment generating function of $T(\mathbf{X})$.

$M_{X_i}(t) = E(e^{tx}) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{tx} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$
 $= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^t)^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^t} = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$

$M_{T(\mathbf{X})}(t) = E(e^{t(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}) = E(e^{tX_1} \dots e^{tX_n})$
 because iid $= E(e^{tX_1}) \dots E(e^{tX_n}) = e^{\lambda n(e^t - 1)}$

2) [+1] Derive the conditional pmf $f_{X_i | T}(\mathbf{x} | t)$.

$f_{X_i | T}(\mathbf{x} | t) = \frac{P(X_i = x, \sum_{j=1}^n X_j = t)}{P(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j = t)}$

$T(\mathbf{X}) \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$

$= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!}}{e^{-n\lambda} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!}} = \frac{t!}{n!} \binom{t}{x} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^t$ if $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = t$

0 if $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \neq t$

3) [+1] Show that $T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient and complete for λ .

+1 By 2), because $f_{X_i | T}(\mathbf{x} | t)$ doesn't depend on λ , $T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient statistic for λ

$f_T(t) = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} \quad t=0,1,2,\dots$

$E(g(t)) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t) \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} e^{-n\lambda}$

Let $g(t) \geq 0$ s.t. $E(g(t)) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda > 0$

Write clearly

$= g(t) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} = g(t) e^{n\lambda} \Rightarrow (\Rightarrow) g(t) = 0$

4) [+1] Derive a Bayes estimator for λ under the prior $\pi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{\beta})$.

$f(\mathbf{x} | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!}$

$\propto \lambda^{\sum x_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda(n + \frac{1}{\beta})}$

$\therefore T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient and complete for λ

$\pi(\lambda | \mathbf{x}) \propto f(\mathbf{x} | \lambda) \pi(\lambda)$
 $\propto e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\beta}}$

$\lambda | \mathbf{x} \sim P(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha, \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{\beta}})$

$\hat{\lambda}_B = E[\lambda | \mathbf{x}] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha}{n + \frac{1}{\beta}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (\beta + 1) + \beta \alpha}{n\beta + 1}$

+1 5) [+1] Express the Bayes estimator as a linear combination of the MLE and the prior mean.

$L(\lambda | \mathbf{x}) = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!}$

$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}$

prior mean $= E[\lambda] = \beta \alpha$

$\log L(\lambda | \mathbf{x}) = -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln \lambda - \ln \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log L(\lambda | \mathbf{x}) = -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda} < 0 \quad \forall \lambda \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_B = \frac{\sum x_i (\beta + 1) + \beta \alpha}{n\beta + 1}$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log L(\lambda | \mathbf{x}) = -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$

$\therefore \hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}$ is the MLE of λ

$\hat{\lambda}_B$ is a linear combination of the MLE and the prior mean

Q2 [+5] Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x|\eta) = -\eta \exp(\eta x)$, $\eta < 0$, $x > 0$.

1) [+1] Derive the MLE $\hat{\eta}$ of η .

+1

$L(n|x) = \prod_{i=1}^n -\eta \exp(\eta x_i) = (-\eta)^n \exp(\eta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$

$\log L(n|x) = n \ln(-\eta) + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log L(n|x) = \frac{n}{-\eta} + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \stackrel{!}{=} 0$

2) [+2] Calculate the bias $E_n[\hat{\eta}] - \eta$.

+2

$X \rightarrow \exp(-\eta) \quad \eta < 0$
 $X_1 + \dots + X_n \rightarrow P(n, -\eta) \quad \eta < 0$

$X_1 + \dots + X_n \sim P(n, -\eta)$

$-\frac{n}{\eta} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{n}{\eta} \Rightarrow \eta = -\frac{1}{\bar{X}}$
 $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \log L(n|x) = \frac{n}{\eta^2} < 0 \quad \forall \eta$
 $\hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}$ since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log L(n|x) \Big|_{\hat{\eta}} = 0$
 $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \log L(n|x) \Big|_{\hat{\eta}} < 0 \quad \forall \eta$

3) [+1] Show that $\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}$ is an ancillary statistic for η .

$\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n} = \frac{Y_n}{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n}$ Let $Y_i = X_i \eta$

$X = \frac{Y}{\eta} \quad \eta < 0$

$f_T(t) = \frac{(-\eta)^n}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} e^{-\eta t} \quad t > 0, \eta < 0$
 $E\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) = \frac{(-\eta)^n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\eta t} dt$
 $= \frac{(-\eta)^n}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{(-\eta)^{n-1}} = \frac{-\eta}{(n-1)}$

$E(\hat{\eta}) = E\left(\frac{\eta}{n-1}\right)$

Bias = $\frac{n\eta}{n-1} - \eta = \frac{\eta}{n-1}$

4) [+1] Calculate $E_n\left[\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right]$

$\therefore Y$ doesn't depend on θ , and $\frac{Y_i}{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n}$ also doesn't depend on θ

$f(x|\eta) = -\eta \exp(\eta x)$

$f(x|\eta) = h(x) c(\eta) \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^n W(x) t_i(\eta)\right]$

and $c(\eta) = \eta \quad W(x) = x \quad t(\eta) = \eta$

$f(x|\eta)$ is one natural exponential family dimension

and $t(\eta) = \eta < 0$ has one open set

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete statistic for η

By Basu theorem ancillary statistic \perp a.s.

$\therefore \frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}$ is an ancillary statistic for η .

$E(X_n) = E\left(\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n} (X_1 + \dots + X_n)\right)$

$= E\left[\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right] E[X_1 + \dots + X_n]$

$E(X_n) = \frac{1}{\eta} \quad E(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \frac{n}{\eta}$

$E\left[\frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}\right] = \frac{\frac{1}{\eta}}{\frac{n}{\eta}} = \frac{1}{n}$

Q3 [+5]

+3

(1) [+1] State Basu's theorem [+1]

H

If $C(X)$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ and $T(X)$ is an ancillary statistic for θ .

(2) [+2] Prove Basu's theorem [+2]

then $C(X) \perp T(X)$ ✓

X

CRLB

(3) [+2] Let $T(X)$ be a statistic, where X has the pdf $f_X(x|\theta)$. Assume that the pdf allows you to do some interchange of integral and differentiation. Derive the Cramér-Rao lower bound.

+2

$$\text{Var}_\theta(T(X)) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} E[T(X)]\right)^2}{E\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right]^2}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} E[T(X)] &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \int T(x) f(x|\theta) dx \\ &= \int T(x) \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta) dx \\ &= \int T(x) \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta) f(x|\theta) dx \\ &= E\left[T(X) \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right] \\ &= \text{cov}\left(T(X) \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} E[T(X)]\right)^2 &= \text{cov}^2\left(T(X) \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right) \\ &\text{by Cauchy Schwarz inequality} \\ &\leq \text{Var}(T(X)) \text{Var}\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right) \\ &= \text{Var}(T(X)) E\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}(T(X)) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} E[T(X)]\right)^2}{E\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right]^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta)\right) &= \int \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln f(x|\theta) f(x|\theta) dx \\ &= \int \frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} f(x|\theta) dx = \int 1 dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

+1

Q4 [+5] Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x|\theta) = 2\theta^2 x^{-3} I(x \geq \theta)$, $\theta > 0$.

+ | (1) [+2] Derive a size- α LR test for testing $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$.

$\Theta_0 = \{ \theta \leq \theta_0 \}$ $\Theta_1 = \{ \theta > \theta_0 \}$

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1, & X_{(1)} \geq \theta_0 \\ \left(\frac{\theta_0}{X_{(1)}}\right)^{2n}, & X_{(1)} < \theta_0 \end{cases}$$

$$L(\theta|x) = \prod_{i=1}^n 2\theta^2 x_i^{-3} I(x_i \geq \theta) = 2^n \theta^{2n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-3} I(\theta \leq X_{(1)})$$

$\therefore L(\theta|x)$ is increasing in θ , when $\theta \in (0, X_{(1)})$

$\hat{\theta} = X_{(1)}$, $\hat{\theta}_0 = \begin{cases} X_{(1)} & \text{if } X_{(1)} > \theta_0 \\ \theta_0 & \text{if } X_{(1)} < \theta_0 \end{cases}$

$\lambda(x) \leq c \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\theta_0}{X_{(1)}}\right)^{2n} \leq c \ \& \ X_{(1)} \leq \theta_0$

$\Leftrightarrow X_{(1)} > c^*$ for some c^* for some $0 < c < 1$

and c^* satisfy $P_{\theta_0}(X_{(1)} > c^*) = \alpha$

$L(\hat{\theta}_0) = \begin{cases} 2^n (X_{(1)})^{2n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-3}, & X_{(1)} > \theta_0 \\ 2^n (\theta_0)^{2n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-3}, & X_{(1)} < \theta_0 \end{cases}$

$$\int_{c^*}^{\infty} 2\theta_0^2 x^{-3} dx = \frac{2\theta_0^2}{(-2)} x^{-2} \Big|_{c^*}^{\infty} = \theta_0^2 c^{*-2} = \alpha$$

$$c^{*-2} = \frac{\alpha}{\theta_0^2} \quad c^* = \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

+0 (2) [+1] Derive the power function.

$$\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(X_{(1)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$= \left(P_{\theta}(X > \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \right)^n = \left(\int_{\theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} 2\theta^2 x^{-3} dx \right)^n$$

$$= \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_0} \alpha \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_0} \right)^2 \alpha^2$$

$R = \{x = X_{(1)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$ is the LR test of size α

+0 (3) [+1] Draw figures of the power function under $\theta_0 = 1$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $n = 1$ and 2 (details).

$n=1 \quad \beta(\theta) = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_0}\right)^2 \alpha$

$\beta(\theta) = 2 \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_0}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_0} \alpha$

$\beta(\theta) = \frac{2}{\theta_0^2} \alpha$

+0 (4) [+1] Derive a $(1-\alpha)$ one-sided CI by inverting a test $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$.

$R(\theta_0) = \{x | X_{(1)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$

$A(\theta_0) = \{x | X_{(1)} < \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$

$C(x) = \{ \theta | X_{(1)} < \theta \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \}$

$\theta = \theta_0 \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow \theta \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} = X_{(1)} \Rightarrow \theta = X_{(1)} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}$

+17 +8

Prove it

Q5 [+10] Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is known.

(1) [+2] Derive a level- α UMP test for $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ (with proof)

$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\theta)^2]$ is a one-dimensional exponential family
 $= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}-\theta)^2]$ By Karlin-Rubin $R = \{X | \bar{X} > c\}$ is LR test
 $f(x|\theta)$ is increasing in \bar{X} , \bar{X} has mlr property in θ

(2) [+2] Is this test unbiased? Prove or disprove your answer.

$\beta(\theta) = P_\theta(\bar{X} > \theta_0 + z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) = P(\frac{\bar{X}-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\theta_0-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + z_\alpha) = 1 - \Phi(\frac{\theta_0-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + z_\alpha)$
 $\beta(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \phi(\frac{\theta_0-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + z_\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \phi(z_\alpha)$
 $\beta(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \phi(\frac{\theta_0-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + z_\alpha)$

$\theta < \theta_0$	$\theta = \theta_0$	$\theta > \theta_0$
0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1

$\beta(\theta) > \beta(\theta_0)$
 $\theta' < \theta_0, \theta' > \theta_0$
 \therefore is unbiased.

(3) [+1] Derive a P-value for the above UMP test.

$W(X) = \bar{X}$
 $P\text{-value} = P(W(X) > W(X) | H_0)$
 $= P(\bar{X} > \theta_0 + z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} | \theta \leq \theta_0)$

(4) [+1] Derive a level- α unbiased test for $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ from the LR test.

$\log L(\theta|\bar{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2$
 $L(\theta_0) = \begin{cases} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} & \bar{x} < \theta_0 \\ (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{x}-\theta_0)^2] & \bar{x} > \theta_0 \end{cases}$
 $\hat{\lambda}(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \bar{x} < \theta_0 \\ \exp[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{x}-\theta_0)^2] & \bar{x} > \theta_0 \end{cases}$
 $\hat{\lambda}(\bar{x}) < c$
 $\Rightarrow \exp[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{x}-\theta_0)^2] < c$ and $\bar{x} > \theta_0$
 $\Rightarrow |\bar{x} - \theta_0| > c^*$
 c^* determined by $P_{\theta_0}(|\bar{X} - \theta_0| > c^*) = \alpha$
 $= 2P(\frac{|\bar{X} - \theta_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{c^*}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}) = \alpha$
 $c^* = \sigma/\sqrt{n} z_{\alpha/2}$, $R = \{X | |\bar{X} - \theta_0| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\}$

(5) [+1] Derive a P-value for the above test ($H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$)

Let $W(X) = |\bar{X} - \theta_0|$
 $P\text{-value} = P(|\bar{X} - \theta_0| > |\bar{x} - \theta_0| | H_0)$
 $= P(\frac{|\bar{X} - \theta_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{|\bar{x} - \theta_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} | \theta = \theta_0)$
 $= 2[1 - \Phi(\frac{|\bar{x} - \theta_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}})]$

(6) [+2] Derive the power function of the above test ($H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$) and draw its figure.

$\beta(\theta) = P(|\bar{X} - \theta_0| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} | \theta)$
 $= P(\bar{X} > \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}, \bar{X} < \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} | \theta)$
 $= P(\frac{\bar{X}-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\theta_0-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + z_{\alpha/2}, \frac{\bar{X}-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\theta_0-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - z_{\alpha/2} | \theta)$
 $\beta(\theta) = 1 - 2\Phi(\frac{\theta_0-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - z_{\alpha/2})$
 $\beta(\theta_0) = 2\Phi(\frac{\theta_0-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - z_{\alpha/2}) = 2\Phi(-z_{\alpha/2}) = \alpha$

$\theta < \theta_0$	$\theta = \theta_0$	$\theta > \theta_0$
0	α	1

(7) [+1] Use a pivot to derive a $(1-\alpha)$ CI for θ when σ^2 is unknown.

under H_0 $\frac{\bar{X}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$
 $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \rightarrow \chi^2(n-1)$
 $A(\theta) = P(\frac{|\bar{X}-\theta|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq t_{\alpha/2}(n-1))$
 $\frac{\bar{X}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\bar{X}-\theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\theta-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow t(n-1)$
 $= P(\bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} t_{\alpha/2}(n-1) \leq \theta \leq \bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} t_{\alpha/2}(n-1))$
 $\therefore (1-\alpha) \text{ CI for } \theta \text{ is } [\bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} t_{\alpha/2}(n-1), \bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)]$