

High-dimensional data analysis, Final exam: [+38 points]

+36

Name 吳柏元

- Not only answer but also calculation
- Derivations must be clear

+12 1. [+13] Let  $\mathfrak{S} = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$  be training data with  $y_i = f(x_i) + \varepsilon_i, E(\varepsilon_i) = 0, \text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$ .

Let  $\{(x_1, Y_1^0), \dots, (x_N, Y_N^0)\}$  be test data with  $Y_i^0 = f(x_i) + \varepsilon_i^0, E(\varepsilon_i^0) = 0, \text{Var}(\varepsilon_i^0) = \sigma^2$ .

Let  $\hat{f}(\cdot)$  be an estimate based on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

+1 (i) [+1] Define training error ( $\bar{err}$ ) under the squared error loss.

✓ 
$$\bar{err} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2$$

+1 (ii) [+2] Define in-sample error ( $Err_m$ ) under the squared error loss.

(explain the meaning of "expectation" in your formula).

✓ 
$$Err_m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Err(x_i), \text{ where } Err(x_0) = E_{\mathcal{D}} (Y_0^0 - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 \mid X = x_0$$

→ expectation <sup>(1)</sup> conditional given  $\mathcal{D}$

+3 (iii) [+3] Define the average optimism bias ( $\omega$ ).

(explain the meaning of "expectation" in your formula).

✓ 
$$\omega = E_{\mathcal{D}}(\omega) = E_{\mathcal{D}}(Err_m - \bar{err}) = E_{\mathcal{D}}(Err_m) - E_{\mathcal{D}}(\bar{err})$$

→ expectation over  $\mathcal{D}$

+5 (iv) [+5] Assume that the test data and training data are independent.

the distribution of

Derive the relationship between  $\omega$  and  $\text{Cov}(y_i, \hat{f}(x_i)), 1, \dots, N$ .

✓ 
$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= E_{\mathcal{D}}(\omega) = E_{\mathcal{D}}(Err_m - \bar{err}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (E(Y_i^0 - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 - E((y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (E(Y_i^0)^2 - 2E(Y_i^0 \hat{f}(x_i)) + E(\hat{f}(x_i)^2)) - (E(y_i^2) - 2E(y_i \hat{f}(x_i)) + E(\hat{f}(x_i)^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N ( \cancel{\text{Var}(Y_i^0)} + \cancel{E(Y_i^0)^2} - 2E(Y_i^0)E(\hat{f}(x_i)) - \cancel{\text{Var}(y_i)} - \cancel{E(y_i)^2} + 2E(y_i \hat{f}(x_i)) ) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N 2(E(y_i \hat{f}(x_i)) - E(y_i)E(\hat{f}(x_i))) \\ &= \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Cov}(y_i, \hat{f}(x_i)) \end{aligned}$$

+2 (v) [+2] Is  $\text{Cov}(y_i, \hat{f}(x_i))$  negative or positive? Why?

∴  $\text{Cov}(y_i, \hat{y}_i) = \sigma^2 h_{ii} > 0$

(LS) ✓ 
$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(y, \hat{y}) &= \text{Cov}(y, X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y) \\ &= \text{Cov}(y, y) X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= \sigma^2 X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= \sigma^2 H \end{aligned}$$

(  $\hat{f}(x_i)$  is based on  $\mathcal{D}$  )  
∴ It has positive relationship between  $\hat{f}(x_i)$  and  $y_i$  ✱

+10 2. [+11] Consider a linear model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ , where  $y^T = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ ,  $\varepsilon \sim N_N(0, \sigma^2 I_N)$ ,

$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_N^T \end{bmatrix}$  is an  $(N \times p)$ -design matrix, and  $\beta^T = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$ .

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

$$\hat{y}_i = x_i^T (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

+2 (i) [+2] Define an estimate  $\hat{y}_i$  of  $y_i$  based on the ridge estimator.

$$\checkmark \hat{y}_i = x_i^T (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

+3 (ii) [+4] Express  $\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Cov}(\hat{y}_i, y_i)$  in terms of the degree of freedom.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Cov}(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Cov}(\hat{y}_1, y_1) & \dots & \text{Cov}(\hat{y}_1, y_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{y}_N, y_1) & \dots & \text{Cov}(\hat{y}_N, y_N) \end{pmatrix} = \text{tr}(\text{Cov}(\hat{y}, y))$$

$$= \text{tr}(\text{Cov}(X(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y, y))$$

$$= \text{tr}(X(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T \overset{\sigma^2 I}{\text{Cov}(y, y)})$$

$$\checkmark = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(X(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T)$$

$$= \sigma^2 df(\lambda)$$

\*

+2 (iii) [+2] Write the average optimism bias by using the degree of freedom.

$$w = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Cov}(y_i, \hat{y}_i) \checkmark = \frac{2 df(\lambda)}{N} \sigma^2$$

\*

+3 (iv) [+3] Define a cross-validation  $CV(\hat{f}_\lambda)$  and explain how to estimate the shrinkage parameter.

$$\checkmark CV(\hat{f}_\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-i)}(x_i))^2$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \underset{\lambda}{\text{argmin}} CV(\hat{f}_\lambda)$$

Find the parameter  $\lambda$  s.t.  $CV(\hat{f}_\lambda)$  is minimized

\*

$$\delta_2(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_2)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_2)}$$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_1)}$$

+14 3. [+14] Let  $G$  be a class ( $G=1$  or  $2$ ) and  $X=(X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be inputs. Assume  $X|G=k \sim N(\mu_k, \Sigma)$  and  $\pi_k = \Pr(G=k)$  for  $k=1, 2$ .

+3 (i) [+3] Write down  $\log \frac{\Pr(G=2|X=x)}{\Pr(G=1|X=x)}$ . (simplify the formula by using  $\mu_2 - \mu_1$ )

$$\log \frac{\Pr(G=2|X=x)}{\Pr(G=1|X=x)} = \log \frac{\delta_2(x) \cdot \pi_2}{\delta_1(x) \cdot \pi_1} = \log \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} + \log \frac{\delta_2(x)}{\delta_1(x)}$$

$$= \log \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} - \frac{1}{2} \left( (x-\mu_2)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_2) - (x-\mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_1) \right)$$

$$= \log \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} - \frac{1}{2} \left( x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - 2x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - x^T \Sigma^{-1} x + 2x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 - \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 \right)$$

$$= \log \frac{\pi_2}{\pi_1} + x^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1) - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_2 + \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1)$$

+1 (ii) [+1] Define a linear discriminant function  $\delta_k(x)$  s.t.  $x$  belongs class 2 if  $\delta_2(x) > \delta_1(x)$ . (assuming all parameters are known)

$$\delta_2(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \log \pi_2$$

We have 6 gene expressions from 3 patients as follows:

	Prognosis	Gene 1	Gene 2	Gene 3	Gene 4	Gene 5	Gene 6
Patient 1	Poor (class 2)	1	0	2	1	1	0
Patient 2	Good (class 1)	0	1	-1	-2	1	-1
Patient 3	Good (class 1)	-1	-1	-1	1	-2	1

+3 (iii) [+3] Calculate

$$\hat{\pi}_1 = \frac{2}{3} \quad \hat{\pi}_2 = \frac{1}{3} \quad \hat{\mu}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 4/3 & & & & & \\ & 4/3 & & & & \\ & & 4/3 & & & \\ & & & 4/3 & & \\ & & & & 4/3 & \\ & & & & & 4/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\sigma}^2 I$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{Np} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^p (x_{ij} - \bar{x})^2$  and  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{Np} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^p x_{ij}$ .

+3 (iv) [+3] A new patient  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$  belongs to class 2 if

$$\frac{1}{8} (x_1 + 2x_3 + x_4 + x_5) > \frac{63}{32} + \log 2$$

↑ a linear function of  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$       ↑ constants

+2 (v) [+2] Which genes are useless for prognosis? Why?

Gene 2 and 6. The coefficients of  $x_2$  and  $x_6$  are zeros. No matter how  $x_2, x_6$  change, they are useless for prognosis.

+2 (vi) [+2] Which gene is the most useful for prognosis? Why?

Gene 3. The coefficient size is the largest of all. It is more sensitive than the others.